


# Algorithms and Programming I

Lecture6  
Heap Sort

# Heaps


- A *heap* can be seen as a complete binary tree:



- *What makes a binary tree complete?*
- *Is the example above complete?*

# Heaps


- A *heap* can be seen as a complete binary tree:



- “nearly complete” binary trees; can think of unfilled slots as null pointers


# Heaps

- In practice, heaps are usually implemented as arrays:



# Heaps

- To represent a complete binary tree as an array:
  - The root node is  $A[1]$
  - Node  $i$  is  $A[i]$
  - The parent of node  $i$  is  $A[i/2]$  (note: integer divide)
  - The left child of node  $i$  is  $A[2i]$
  - The right child of node  $i$  is  $A[2i + 1]$



# Referencing Heap Elements

- So...

```
Parent(i) { return  $\lfloor i/2 \rfloor$ ; }
```

```
Left(i) { return  $2*i$ ; }
```

```
right(i) { return  $2*i + 1$ ; }
```

# The Heap Property

- Heaps also satisfy the *heap property*:

$$A[\textit{Parent}(i)] \geq A[i] \quad \text{for all nodes } i > 1$$

- In other words, the value of a node is at most the value of its parent
- *Where is the largest element in a heap stored?*

# Heap Height

- Definitions:
  - The *height* of a node in the tree = the number of edges on the longest downward path to a leaf
  - The height of a tree = the height of its root
- *What is the height of an  $n$ -element heap? Why?*
- This is nice: basic heap operations take at most time proportional to the height of the heap




# Heap Operations: Heapify()

- **Heapify ()** : maintain the heap property
  - Given: a node  $i$  in the heap with children  $l$  and  $r$
  - Given: two subtrees rooted at  $l$  and  $r$ , assumed to be heaps
  - Problem: The subtree rooted at  $i$  may violate the heap property (*How?*)
  - Action: let the value of the parent node “float down” so subtree at  $i$  satisfies the heap property
    - *What do you suppose will be the basic operation between  $i$ ,  $l$ , and  $r$ ?*

# Heap Operations: Heapify()

```
Heapify(A, i)
{
    l = Left(i); r = Right(i);
    if (l <= heap_size(A) && A[l] > A[i])
        largest = l;
    else
        largest = i;
    if (r <= heap_size(A) && A[r] > A[largest])
        largest = r;
    if (largest != i)
        Swap(A, i, largest);
        Heapify(A, largest);
}
```


# Heapify() Example



A = 

16	4	10	14	7	9	3	2	8	1
----	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---


# Heapify() Example




A = 

16	4	10	14	7	9	3	2	8	1
----	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

# Heapify() Example




# Heapify() Example



A = 

16	14	10	4	7	9	3	2	8	1
----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---


# Heapify() Example



A = 


16	14	10	4	7	9	3	2	8	1
----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

# Heapify() Example






# Heapify() Example



A = 

16	14	10	8	7	9	3	2	4	1
----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---


# Heapify() Example



A = 

16	14	10	8	7	9	3	2	4	1
----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

# Heapify() Example



A = 

16	14	10	8	7	9	3	2	4	1
----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

# Analyzing Heapify(): Informal

- *Aside from the recursive call, what is the running time of **Heapify()**?*
- *How many times can **Heapify()** recursively call itself?*
- *What is the worst-case running time of **Heapify()** on a heap of size  $n$ ?*

# Analyzing Heapify(): Formal

- Fixing up relationships between  $i$ ,  $l$ , and  $r$  takes  $\Theta(1)$  time
- *If the heap at  $i$  has  $n$  elements, how many elements can the subtrees at  $l$  or  $r$  have?*
  - Draw it
- Answer:  $2n/3$  (worst case: bottom row 1/2 full)
- So time taken by **Heapify** ( ) is given by
$$T(n) \leq T(2n/3) + \Theta(1)$$

# Analyzing Heapify(): Formal

- So we have

$$T(n) \leq T(2n/3) + \Theta(1)$$

- By case 2 of the Master Theorem,

$$T(n) = O(\lg n)$$

- Thus, **Heapify()** takes logarithmic time

# Heap Operations: BuildHeap()

- We can build a heap in a bottom-up manner by running **Heapify()** on successive subarrays
  - Fact: for array of length  $n$ , all elements in range  $A[\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 .. n]$  are heaps (*Why?*)
  - So:
    - Walk backwards through the array from  $n/2$  to 1, calling **Heapify()** on each node.
    - Order of processing guarantees that the children of node  $i$  are heaps when  $i$  is processed

# BuildHeap()

// given an unsorted array A, make A a heap

BuildHeap(A)

{

  heap\_size(A) = length(A);

  for (i =  $\lfloor \text{length}[A]/2 \rfloor$  downto 1)


    Heapify(A, i);

}



# BuildHeap() Example

- Work through example  
A = {4, 1, 3, 2, 16, 9, 10, 14, 8, 7}



# Analyzing BuildHeap()

- Each call to **Heapify ()** takes  $O(\lg n)$  time
- There are  $O(n)$  such calls (specifically,  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ )
- Thus the running time is  $O(n \lg n)$ 
  - *Is this a correct asymptotic upper bound?*
  - *Is this an asymptotically tight bound?*
- A tighter bound is  $O(n)$ 
  - *How can this be? Is there a flaw in the above reasoning?*

# Analyzing BuildHeap(): Tight

- To **Heapify** () a subtree takes  $O(h)$  time where  $h$  is the height of the subtree
  - $h = O(\lg m)$ ,  $m = \#$  nodes in subtree
  - The height of most subtrees is small
- Fact: an  $n$ -element heap has at most  $\lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil$  nodes of height  $h$
- CLR 7.3 uses this fact to prove that **BuildHeap** () takes  $O(n)$  time

# Heapsort

- Given **BuildHeap()**, an in-place sorting algorithm is easily constructed:
  - Maximum element is at  $A[1]$
  - Discard by swapping with element at  $A[n]$ 
    - Decrement  $\text{heap\_size}[A]$
    - $A[n]$  now contains correct value
  - Restore heap property at  $A[1]$  by calling **Heapify()**
  - Repeat, always swapping  $A[1]$  for  $A[\text{heap\_size}(A)]$

# Heapsort

```
Heapsort (A)
```

```
{  
    BuildHeap (A) ;  
    for (i = length(A) downto 2)  
    {  
        Swap (A[1], A[i]) ;  
        heap_size (A) -= 1 ;  
        Heapify (A, 1) ;  
    }  
}
```

# Analyzing Heapsort

- The call to **BuildHeap ()** takes  $O(n)$  time
- Each of the  $n - 1$  calls to **Heapify ()** takes  $O(\lg n)$  time
- Thus the total time taken by **HeapSort ()**
  - =  $O(n) + (n - 1) O(\lg n)$
  - =  $O(n) + O(n \lg n)$
  - =  $O(n \lg n)$

# Priority Queues

- Heapsort is a nice algorithm, but in practice Quicksort (coming up) usually wins
- But the heap data structure is incredibly useful for implementing *priority queues*
  - A data structure for maintaining a set  $S$  of elements, each with an associated value or *key*
  - Supports the operations **Insert()**, **Maximum()**, and **ExtractMax()**
  - *What might a priority queue be useful for?*

# Priority Queue Operations

- **Insert(S, x)** inserts the element x into set S
- **Maximum(S)** returns the element of S with the maximum key
- **ExtractMax(S)** removes and returns the element of S with the maximum key
- *How could we implement these operations using a heap?*